(3) (1)

Single Bench

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR, CHHATTISGARH**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 769 / 2005**

**PETITIONER** : Anil Kumar Tiwari,
S/o Shri Gaya Prasad Tiwari,
Aged about 50 years , Presently working as Assistant Fisheries Officer at the Department of Fisheries, Rajnandgaon , C.G.
R/o H.No. 236/19, Gayatri Colony, Kamla College Road Rajnandgaon , C.G.

P.R. No. 786/05
Presented by Shri Katyal adv
dated 24.02.05

**VERSUS**

**RESPONDENTS** :
1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through the Secretary,
Department of Fisheries,
Mantralaya, D.K.S. Bhawan ,
Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Director,
Department of Fisheries,
Telibandha , Ravigram, Raipur
(C.G.)

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF A WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION , CERTIORARI AND ANY OTHER WRITS, DIRECTIONS OR ORDERS.**

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

42

**मामला क्रमांक** W.P.No.769/05 **सन् 200**

**आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)**

| आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक | हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|

**14.3.2005**

Shri Satyendra Sahu, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State on advance copy.

Application (I.A.No.1565/2005) for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard on admission.

The contention of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the gradation list prepared by the department does not containsthe name of the petitioner. Vide relief clause 7.2 of the petition, learned counsel has claimed that the name of the petitioner should be placed before serial no.20 in the gradation list (Annexure P-1). Learned counsel further submits that if the petitioner is placed at Serial No.19 or prior to Serial No.20, he will be considered for further promotions.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that for the time being, this petition may be disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed representation before the competent authority and the competent authority should be directed to dispose of the same within the stipulated period granted by this Court.

The prayer seems to be reasonable. There is no impediment in allowing such prayer at this stage without hearing the other side.

Prayer is allowed.

The petitioner, if so directed, may file a detailed representation along-with a copy of this order and the copy of this petition before the competent authority within a period of 15 days

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर (47)

**मामला क्रमांक** W.P.No.769/05 **सन् 200**

**आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)**

| आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक | हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश – 2 – | कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश |
|---|---|---|
| | from today and if such a representation is filed the competent authority shall decide the same in accordance with law, as early as possible preferably within 8 weeks from the date of filing of such representation. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case.<br>With the above observations, this petition stands finally disposed of at this stage.<br>C.C. as per rules.<br>**Sd/-**<br>**Sunil Kumar Sinha**<br>**Judge** | |